

CmlC Control No.

SUMMARY OF MAJOR EVENTS AND PROBLEMS

[United States Chemical Corps]

Excerpt

Fiscal Year 1955

by DNA and CBDCOM Securi Classification Review Board 24 Aug 95



ACTION
'
1 1
1 1
!
. /
· · ·
l

with the exception of

December 1955

SECRET/RESTRICTED DATA, Maferial is doungraded unclassifi

Excluded from General Declassification Schedule

Authority: DAMO-SWC MET

Office of the Chief Chemical Officer

of 8 JANGO signed by A.D. Rubb for MG L.J. Del Rosso EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC REGRADING; DOD DIR 5200.10 DOES NOT

UNCLASSIFIED



(b)(2) HIGH,(b)(3):10 USC 130

226

See Summary of Major Events and Problems, FY 1954, pp. 106 - 114.

22.7

(1) Quart Hist Rpt, RECOM, Oct - Dec 54. (2) Ltr, CMIMC-EP-42, CG MATCOM to CG. RECOM, 27 Oct 54, sub: Scheduling of Dichlor from Site 4.

228

See Summary of Major Events and Problems, FY 1954, p. 112.

UNCLASSIFIED



PAGE 138 OF 162 PAGES
COPY 29 OF 35 COPIES

(b)(2) HIGH,(b)(3):10 USC 130

(1) See Summary of Major Events and Problems, FY 1954, p. 113. (2) Summary of Staff Conference, OCCmlO, 14 Jul 54. (3) Abstract of Site A Weekly Rpt, Inol 1 to Quart Hist Rpt, RECOM, Oct - Dac 54.

(1) See Summary of Major Events and Problems, FY 1954, pp. 108 - 109.

(2) Quart Hist Rpts, RECON, Jul - Sep, Oct - Dec 54. (3) /C Y 1954 Annual Report, Chemical Corps Phosphate Development Works (Muscle Shoals Project), pp. 3 - 5, 27. (Hereafter cited as "54 Rpt, Cml C PDW, ").

231 54 Rpt, CmlC PDW, pp. 27 - 28.

PAGE 139 OF 162 PAGES
COPY 29 OF 35 COPIES

(b)(2) HIGH,(b)(3):10 USC 130

(1) See Summary of Major Events and Problems, FY 1954, pp. 109, 114.

(2) 54 Rpt, CmlC PDW, pp. 13 - 16, 28. (3) Quart Hist Rpts, RECOM, Jul - Sep, Oct - Dec 54, Jan - Mar, Apr - Jun, 55. (4) Summary of Staff Conference, OCCmlO, 18 Aug 54 and 22 Sep 54. (5) 3rd Quart Rev, FY 55, and 4th Quart Rev FY 55, R&D Div, OCCmlO.

Many of the production accomplishments of the past year are directly attributable to the work of the Enlisted Scientific and Professional Personnel who were assigned positions of importance, in keeping with regulations, at the Muscle Shoals facility.

UNDEASSIFIED

PAGE 140 OF 162 PAGES
COPY 2 9 OF 35 COPIES



(b)(2) HIGH,(b)(3):10 USC 130

DOD Dir, Chemical (Toxic) and BW Readiness, 5 Mar 54, reproduced as CCTC Item 2828.

(1) Quart Hist Rots, RECOM, Jul - Sep, Oct - Dec, 54, Jan - Mar, Apr - Jun 55. (2) Rpt, CC RECOM to CCmlO, 21 Feb 55, subs Evaluation of Alternate Processes for Manufacture of GB. (3) 4th Quart Rev FY55, R&D Div, OCCmlO.

UNCLASSIFIED



PAGE 141 OF 162 PAGES

COPY 2 9 OF 35 COPIES

(b)(2) HIGH,(b)(3):10 USC 130

(1) Quart Hist Rpts, Rocky Mountain Arsenal, Appendix I, Jul - Sep.

Oct - Dec, 54, Jan - Mar, Apr - Jun 55, (2) Interv, Hist Off with (b)(6)

(b)(6)

Indus Div, MATCON, 2 Sep 55.

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 142 OF 162 PAGES

COPY 29 OF 35 COPIES

(b)(2) HIGH

Industrial Mobilization Planning

Late in fiscal year 1954 the Department of Defense issued instructions for FY 1955 which changed the industrial mobilization planning concept and clarified planning procedures. 238 The new concept had as its central theme the planned procurement of Mobilization Reserve Material Requirements (stockpile) items, and all other items in the mobilization schedule were to be evaluated in terms of their relationship to the end-item stockpiling concept. In other words, items were to be given priorities for both planning and procurement according to their mobilization importance, the availability of materials, the availability of end-item producers, and the manufacturing lead-time involved. The Department of Defense set up a preferential planning list of items at the top of each of these categories. The Chemical Corps recommended the inclusion of forty-one items on the list, and thirty-eight of these were approved. Approval was expected on the other three on the assumption

UNCLASSIFIED

CONTENTAL

COPY 2 9 OF 35 COPIES

⁽¹⁾ Quart Hist Rpts, Rocky Mountain Arsenal, Appendix I, Jul - Sep, Oct - Dec, 54, Jan - Mar, Apr - Jun 55. (2) Quart Hist Rpt, RECOM, Jan - Mar 55. (3) The Shell Company APC plant had served its purpose, and, since Miscle Sheals could meet current dichlor requirements, the APC was to be placed in reserve.

⁽¹⁾ DOD Dir 4005.6, Production Allocation Program Planning, Office of the Asst Sec of Defense for Supply and Logistics, 26 Jan 54 (distributed in the field in Jun 54). (2) See above, p. 14

PAGE 143 OF 162 PAGES