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SUBJECT: U.S. Policy on Extraterritorial Application of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)

On March 15, 1993, the President decided not to petition for a rehearing in EDF v. Massey, a recent decision of the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit. In declining to seek a rehearing in this case, the new administration indicated it would not challenge the Court's precise holding -- namely that NEPA applies to U.S. activities in Antarctica. The administration did not, however, reach a decision with respect to the application of NEPA either to the global commons (specifically the high seas and outer space), or to U.S. actions overseas, specifically in foreign territories and in the U.S. and foreign Exclusive Economic Zones (EEZ). This review will focus on these two issues.
The review should be completed by May 17, 1993 and shall include options related to NEPA applicability both to the global commons and to actions in a nation other than the United States. At a minimum, the review should include the following:

Part I: Background

-- Analysis of the legislation and the legislative history with respect to these issues.

-- Description of current Congressional interest in this issue, including an analysis of serious legislative proposals.

-- Description of the history of applying NEPA in foreign territory, before and after Executive Order 12114, including a description of events leading to the issuance of the Executive Order.

-- Description of history of applying NEPA to the global commons and the U.S. foreign EEZs, before and after Executive Order 12114 was issued.

-- Description of environmental impact analysis obligations in existing treaties affecting the global commons or U.S. activities overseas.

-- Analysis of the relationship between NEPA and the Freedom of Information Act, between NEPA and the subject of classified information, between NEPA and emergency actions, between NEPA and national security issues and between NEPA and foreign policy concerns, including negotiation and conclusion of international agreement.

-- Comparative analysis of NEPA, EO 12114 and implementing agency regulations, including an analysis of the extent to which the environmental effects of Federal actions overseas and in the global commons are being addressed and implemented under Executive Order 12114.

-- Analysis of pending and proposed litigation.

-- Implications for other statutes, such as Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act.

Part II: Policy Options

-- If NEPA were applied to either U.S. actions in the global commons, or in foreign territory, what impacts (environmental, commercial, budgetary, litigation, foreign policy and national security) would result? Potential application of NEPA to
the global commons or to foreign territory should be separately analyzed.

To what extent can environmental concerns be addressed by actions short of extending NEPA such as a new Executive Order or improving the current Executive Order? What would the impact be of such an Executive Order?

Pros and Cons shall be provided for each option.

A recommended option should be provided.

Part III: Tasking

The NSC shall chair an IWG meeting which will prepare a coordinated draft review paper.

Because a number of matters to be addressed have implications for legal positions asserted by the United States on matters currently pending in litigation, the NSC shall consult particularly with agencies involved in the litigation.

The paper shall be presented to the Deputies Committee when complete, and a Deputies Committee meeting shall be called and chaired by the Deputy National Security Adviser.
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